1	WO	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
8		
9	United States of America,	NO. CR-12-01966-001-PHX-ROS
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING DISPOSITION (PURSUANT TO
11	v.	18 U.S.C. § 3143)
12	Jorge Robles-Retiz,	
13	Defendant.	
14		
15	submitted on 5/5/2017. Defendant was present and was represented by counsel. The defendant has not rebutted by clear and convincing evidence that he is not likely to flee if released.	
16		
17		
18	FINDINGS OF FACT	
19	I find by a preponderance of the evidence that:	
20	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for	
21	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.  ☐ The defendant has a prior criminal history.  ☐ The defendant has been ordered detained on the underlying 8 USC 1326(a)&(b)(1) charged in Case No: 17-1323MJ.  The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.	
22		
23		
24		
25		
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>		
28		
20		

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.
- 2. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.

## **DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION**

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

## APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: 5/5/2017

Honorable James F. Metcalf United States Magistrate Judge

- 2 -